

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

REGINA DAVIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL  
SECURITY,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:21-cv-05562-JRC

ORDER ON MOTION FOR AN  
AWARD OF ATTORNEY FEES  
PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)

Presently before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for an Award of Attorney's Fees Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b). Dkt. 24. Defendant does not oppose the Motion. *See* Dkt. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73, and Local Rule MJR 13, the parties have consented to have this matter heard by the undersigned Magistrate Judge. *See* Dkt. 2. Under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b), the Court may allow a reasonable fee for an attorney who represented a Social Security claimant before the Court and obtained a favorable judgment, as long as such fee is not in excess of 25% of the total past-due benefits. *See Grisbrecht v. Barnhart*, 535 U.S. 789 (2002). When a contingency agreement applies, the Court will look first to such agreement and will conduct an independent review to assure the reasonableness of the fee requested, taking into

1 consideration the character of the representation and results achieved. *See id.* at 807, 808.

2 Although the fee agreement is the primary means for determining the fee, the Court may reduce  
3 the fee for substandard representation, delay by the attorney, or because a windfall would result  
4 from the requested fee. *See Crawford v. Astrue*, 586 F.3d 1142, 1151 (9th Cir. 2009) (citing  
5 *Grisbrecht*, 535 U.S. at 808).

6 Here, Plaintiff signed a contingency fee agreement agreeing to pay her attorney a fee  
7 equal to 25% of the amount awarded for past-due benefits. *See* Dkt. 24-3. The representation was  
8 not substandard and the results achieved were excellent. *See* Dkt. 17; Dkt. 24-2; *Grisbrecht*, 535  
9 U.S. at 808. This Court reversed and remanded this matter to the Administration for further  
10 proceedings and, following remand, Plaintiff was awarded benefits. *See* Dkts. 17, 24-2. There is  
11 no evidence of an excessive delay by the attorney or that a windfall will result from the requested  
12 fee.

13 Plaintiff moves for attorney's fees in the total amount of \$30,044.23, which is 25% of  
14 Plaintiff's total past-due benefits. *See* Dkt. 24. Previously, Plaintiff was awarded attorney's fees  
15 of \$7,595.10 under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"). Dkt. 23. Therefore, Plaintiff is  
16 moving for a remaining attorney's fee award of \$22,449.13. Dkt. 24. After review of the relevant  
17 record, Plaintiff's Motion (Dkt. 24) is **GRANTED**.

18 Dated this 28th day of December, 2023.

19  
20 

21 Grady J. Leupold  
22 United States Magistrate Judge  
23  
24